

Using research to inform health systems strengthening

The need for systematic and collaborative approaches in synthesizing health systems research

Experiences from the



Alliance for Health Policy and Systems Research

HTA for Health System Sustainability
8th Annual meeting of HTAi
Rio de Janeiro 2011

Alliance for Health Policy and Systems Research

Objective	Strategies
1. Knowledge generation	1. Leverage resources to fund original HPSR
	2. Support the development of systematic reviews
	3. Support strategic, multi-country research on HPSR
2. Application of HPSR to policy	4. Package and disseminate summaries of systematic reviews
	5. Support national processes to promote evidence-informed policy
3. Capacity Development	6. Support development and dissemination of HPSR methods
	7. Develop innovative approaches to strengthen institutional capacity to apply evidence to policy



Alliance Systematic Review Centres: Purpose and Objectives

- Develop capacity of low and middle income countries (LMICs) to conduct systematic reviews on HPSR issues
- Conduct reviews on three main thematic areas (Human Resources, Health Care Financing and Role of Non-State Actors)
- Further develop methodologies for systematic reviews in HPSR



Alliance Systematic Review Centres

- Four centres selected in LMICs
 - 1. Centre for Human Resources for Health Makerere University, Uganda
 - Centre for Health Financing- Shandong University, China
 - 3. Centre for Role of Non-state Sector in Health ICDDR, Bangladesh
 - 4. Centre on methodology University Pontificia, Chile
- Technical assistance
 - EPOC satellite at Norwegian Knowledge Centre, Oslo
 - EPPI centre, Institute of Education, London
 - Liverpool school of Tropical Medicine



Alliance Systematic Review Centres: Achievements

<u>Uganda centre</u>

- Effects of changes in the pre-licensure education of health workers on health worker supply, published in Cochrane library in 2009
- Dual practice regulatory mechanisms in the health sector: A systematic review of approaches and implementation, published in EPPI centre library in March 2011
- 4 reviews in pipeline:
 - Effects of interventions to manage dual practice (protocol), published in Cochrane library in 2010.
 - Effects of interventions implemented to manage absenteeism among health workers
 - Interventions for managing the movement of health workers between public and private organizations
 - Regulatory mechanisms for absenteeism in the health sector a systematic review of approaches and implementation





Alliance Systematic Review Centres: Achievements

China

- Expanding health insurance coverage in vulnerable groups: a systematic review of options, was published in Health Policy and Planning in Sept 2010
- Effects of Outreaching strategies for expanding health insurance of children, published in Cochrane library
- Means testing for targeting the people in health programs: A systematic review of the approaches
- Cost-sharing mechanisms in health insurance schemes: A systematic review-in pipeline

Bangladesh

- Social Franchising Evaluations: A Scoping Review published in EPPI centre library
- Impact of Community Mobilization on Safe Motherhood in pipeline



Alliance Systematic Review Centres: Achievements

Chile

- Interventions to control emigration of health professionals from low and middle income countries. A systematic review completed in 2008
- Handbook for reviewers in HPSR
- Database of 300 primary studies in HPSR
- Briefing note about synthesis methods in HPSR



Many Types of Reviews Are Needed

- Research evidence can support many steps in health system strengthening (and reviews make this feasible)
 - Defining the problem
 - Assessing potential policy and program options
 - Identifying implementation considerations
- Even if a systematic review is not applicable to a particular setting, insights can still be drawn about
 - Features of a problem
 - Ideas about possible options
 - Possible implementation considerations
 - Approaches to monitoring and evaluation

Many Types of Reviews Are Needed (2)

- Reviews of observational studies (e.g., administrative database studies, registries, community surveys)
- Reviews of *qualitative studies* that examine stakeholders' views about and experiences with the problem, how or why interventions work (i.e., process evaluations), stakeholders' views about and experiences with particular options
- Reviews of effectiveness studies (e.g., randomized controlled trials, interrupted time series, controlled before/after studies)

Effectiveness reviews: Distribution of study-design selection criteria

 414 reviews in <u>www.healthsystemsevidence.org</u> met all our meta-review selection criteria; 13% did not indicate studydesign selection criteria

359 systematic reviews indicated criteria:

EPOC criteria: RCT, CCT, CBA and ITS

Selection criteria	%
Some EPOC	60
RCT only	36
RCT & CCT	14
Other subsets of EPOC	10
All EPOC	15
Some EPOC & other	9
All EPOC & other	4
All study designs	12

Peter Rockers, Andrea Feigl, Atle Fretheim, John-Arne Røttingen, David de Ferranti, John Lavis, Hans Olav Melberg, Till Bärnighausen, in prep

kunnskapssenteret

Systematic reviews on other questions than effectiveness

- Less consensus on how to synthesise non-experimental evidence, especially including qualitative research
- Can still be systematic, rigorous, explicit
- But have to deal with different designs, research traditions, theoretical orientations
- Approaches are largely question- and available evidencedriven
- Relevant when policies/interventions tend to be more context-dependent in design and effects
- Different approaches for combining qualitative & quantitative evidence
 - Mixed methods reviews, meta-ethnography, narrative synthesis, realist reviews

kunnskapssenteret

Alliance Working Group on Health Systems Research Synthesis

- Call for a collaboration to facilitate knowledge translation by creating a global repository for the corpus of best practices in health systems development (Dean Julio Frenk, HSPH)
- Session at Global Forum for Health Research, Havana, Cuba in November 2010: Do we need a Cochrane-like collaboration for synthesizing health systems evidence?
- Consultation document sent out in May 2010
- Meeting of Alliance Working Group on Health Systems Research Synthesis in London on October 1 2010
- Session at First Global Symposium on Health Systems Research, Montreux in November 2011: Do we need an international collaboration for synthesizing health systems evidence?
- Discussions with the Cochrane Collaboration



Current landscape - international collaboration

- Cochrane Collaboration (C1)
- Campbell Collaboration (C2)
- Health Technology Assessment International (HTAi)
- 3IE and C2 have set up:
 - Lower and Middle Income Countries Coordinating Group
- DFID/AusAID/3IE calls for systematic reviews on development
- DFID called for:
 - the creation of an independent and international collaboration to oversee the creation and dissemination of systematic reviews in international development
- Alliance's process
- Innovative methods for reviews: individual institutions, e.g. EPPI centre
- Knowledge translation initiatives, e.g. EVIPNet

Recommendations for the Alliance (from WG)

- 1. <u>Stewardship:</u> Play a central role in advancing the science of HSR synthesis by developing and strengthening networks between stakeholders that have an interest in HSR synthesis and translation:
 - Form Advisory Group
 - Coordinate with Task Force on Evidence for Health Systems Guidance (led by Andy Haines)
 - Plan consultation(s) with experts and stakeholders
 - Support discussions with Cochrane and Campbell Collaborations and 3ie
- 2. <u>Supporting syntheses and capacity building:</u> Provide support, information sharing and potential coordination related to:
 - setting priorities for HSR synthesis regionally and globally
 - conducting syntheses
 - increasing capacity building for HSR synthesis and translation



Recommendations for the Alliance (from WG)

- 3. <u>Dissemination</u>: Advocate for and support a common global database for all types of systematic reviews of HSR
 - Support use and development of Health Systems Evidence
 (www.healthsystemsevidence.org) (hosted at McMaster University)

4. <u>Methods for effectiveness syntheses</u>: Explore ways in which quasiexperimental methods can be used in Cochrane and Campbell HSR reviews.



Recommendations for the Alliance (from WG)

- 5. <u>Methods for non-effectiveness reviews</u>: Collaborate in a consortium to produce, edit and publish reviews addressing questions other than effectiveness, for example:
 - Reviews to establish stakeholders' views about a health systems problem
 - Reviews of potential negative effects of a policy option
 - Reviews to determine why an intervention has worked
- 6. <u>Prospective registration:</u> Advocate for and support prospective registration of all protocols for systematic reviews when they are planned:
 - e.g. international prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO) for effectiveness reviews

